

1. Babble On: Language and the Ivory Tower 2. What is the Meaning in Life?

Enquiry: A publication of the AHI Undergraduate Fellow.

## Babble On: Language and the Ivory Tower

**⊥**I saw an image of *Laocoön and His* Sons, a sculpture depicting a scene from Virgil's Aeneid. Troy's priest of Neptune had implored his countrymen to refuse the Greek gift of a wooden horse, believing it was full of armed men. The Trojans dismissed Laocoön's warning and hauled the horse within their walls.

As Laocoön attempted to sacrifice a bull to Neptune for the city's protection, twin serpents, eyes suffused with blood and fire, emerged from Neptune's chaotic sea and coiled around the throats of him and his sons. From Laocoön's lungs came a "bellowing like some wounded bull struggling to shrug loose from his neck an axe that's struck awry." His own throat uttering the very cry of the animal he sought to slaughter, Laocoön becomes the sacrifice for a god less concerned with truth than with power, for a kingdom less concerned with prudence than with greed.

## Logos -- the reasoning word -- is dying, and we are killing it.

On the postmodern campus, words are often Trojan horses that drain discourse of its lifeblood. Today, students at most colleges seem to learn to obfuscate, equivocate, and manipulate language more than to communicate. Language, once considered the most inspired means of pursuing mutual improvement between human beings, becomes a means of deception and compulsion. Educational conversation once bound truth. Now, with the dissolution of belief in absolute truth, verbal expression by the deconstructionists on our campuses has increasingly aimed at conquest, at seizing and maintaining ideological control. Here questions warp into "questionings," interrogations, into interactions articulations into accusations, and sentences into sentencings.

that no grand narratives are true, and our breath is carried on the wind of the humanity of others. divine consciousness, we feel only the Nietzschean breath of empty space. With the heights of our own perceived virtue, the entire horizon wiped away, we drown we increasingly reduce our interactions to

Tdidn't think stone could scream until in our own air. Our tongues reduced to mere muscle, we learn not the art of argument but the homogeneous, droning, artless regurgitation of accusations that brand us with a perpetually redefined sense of "virtue." Together, yet utterly alone, we recite these empty prayers to the reigning cultural authorities, sacrificing intellectual dissidents on the altars of our own egos. Logos -- the reasoning word -is dying, and we are killing it.

> Our destruction of objective definition grows from the underlying belief that no one can adequately convey a reality to another through speech, that no one can truly reach another's mind or heart. This amounts to a disbelief in the very definition of education, and if education is lost, what knowledge are we to acquire? That we are all "lords of our own tiny skull-sized kingdoms, alone at the center of all creation," as David Foster Wallace says in his address to a graduating class of Kenyon College on the value of a liberal arts education. A bit of Hebrew wisdom once warned that from such a pursuit of knowledge, rooted in pride and power, stems every ripe horror of the human experience. Do we not taste some of that horror? Do we not feel exiled from each other? Are we not out of breath?

The attempted elevation of our souls to infinite capacities for new definition and creation has proved to be dehumanizing, not deifying. The endless deconstruction of language generates disbelief in the ability of conversation and debate to sharpen a fellow human being. We have lost too much of our faith students together toward the pursuit of in mutual improvement and refinement, in good will and therefore friendship,

> The extreme erosion of language illustrates a dying love for the human spirit. Losing this reverence strips us of our very humanity by blinding us to the humanity of others.

We are virtually willed into in our capacity to gain strength through compliance under the grand narrative challenge. The extreme erosion of language illustrates a dying love for the the absolute truth that there is no human spirit. Losing this reverence strips absolute truth. No longer believing us of our very humanity by blinding us to

In our attempts to attain and advertise

## What is the Meaning in Life?

By TAICHENG JIN

Dperhaps we should ask why grappling with its meaning even matters. Existential angst -- is it useful? In a chaotic world where iconoclasm vies with conformism, metanarrative is frequently sought after and persecuted. Globalism has added so much mobility in the market of ideas that it has replaced stable dominant teloses -ultimate values and goals -- with anarchy. We cannot help but scurry aimlessly, hunted by the tide that is time, jumping over hurdles one after another without even a partially formulated telos. What chaos! (i.e., the Greek khaos, meaning abyss). We fear and ponder at this abyss of the unknown, ponder and yet fear more.

In Meaning in Life and Why It Matters, Susan Wolf believes she has found the exit to this cosmic treadmill, or as Nietzsche called it, "eternal return." She entreats us to ponder the meaning in life rather than the meaning of life. Because only then, fueled by pragmatism, can we tease out the practical from the superfluous. In scrutinizing the motivations behind our actions, we grow and improve. Wolf speaks of two core conditions, subjectivity and objectivity, that are both necessary to human fulfillment. She neatly captures the complementary relationship between them when she explains: "meaning in life arises when subjective attraction meets objective attractiveness.'

Rejecting both "rational egoism" and consequentialism, Wolf sharpens our understanding of worth and justification while steering us away from agonizing misconceptions about value. Her "fitting fulfillment" view clarifies the role of selfinterest in our actions without relying exclusively on its explanatory power. But her view doesn't sufficiently address (although she recognizes) a major point about objective worth, and it doesn't provide a solution to the lack of an independent arbitrator or relatively impartial judge.

Wolf succeeds in eliminating the false dichotomy between rational egoism and consequentialism. Rational egoists believe that every sound justification for our actions, or the policies we advocate, is a maximization of self-interest. Wolf rejects this school of thought, citing moral duty and "reasons of love." She later notes situations of apparent selflessness or altruism, such as dedicating time to something we take a passionate interest in or caring for a friend. (Of course, her examples of unexplained

continued on back

continued on back

**D** efore we discuss the meaning of life,

BABBLE ON . . . cont.

any true aspiration, while shrinking our cacophony baying for sacrificial blood. sense of moral obligation to each other.

mere force. When persuasion is erased, our own teetering mental constructions, we caused our own kingdoms to crumble, we only compulsion remains. Denying the rob our pasts of redemption, our presents of existence of common ground, we stand hope, and our futures of achievement. With and wonder who will wipe it off us. We in utter isolation. Our elimination of such a dismal interpretation of the nature of will not have the language to construct an absolutes has wrenched away from us man, conversation regresses into a barbaric answer. We will babble on. Only the rocks

I fear that when at last we realize that Without a standard of meaning outside our tyrannous butchery of dictionaries has

will look at the bleeding ink on our hands will be left to cry out.

"Antigone" was recently a student at Hamilton Čollege.

back to him. But the coffee drinker has

provide infrastructure and public goods.

So indeed, the coffee consumer is engaged

MEANING IN LIFE . . . cont.

"altruisms" can still be morphed into acts wisdom is not sufficient for deterrence. buyers and sellers, together. The Ethiopian of self-interest, if the rational egoist claims though her work is objectively valuable tentative, "pool our information, our knows that he is. Isn't that enough to to society, bringing people back from experience, and our thoughts," and test our fulfill Wolf's condition of "engagement"? the brink of traumatic death -- her life intellect when we are challenged to justify

condition" requires that an activity which that her endoxic approach should not be What then of the claim that actions

such an act includes an expectation of her analysis - elitism and "metaphysics of much from an individual purchase, since self-benefit.) Wolf offers a conception of value." Elitism involves authority. Who has meaningfulness that is "neither subsumable the legitimate authority to dictate to the rest under or reducible to either happiness or of us what is valuable? Wolf is keenly aware contributed to the farmer's life, however morality." In her model, the person "loves" that she, like others, operates on biases. She slightly. When we spend even one dollar, the activity, or is subjectively "gripped, admits that her bourgeois or middle-class that dollar is used to pay for operating excited, interested, engaged" by and in American values cannot be a certificate of costs and salaries, ending up in savings it. For example, if an emergency room genuine authority, since these are far from and other spending. The government surgeon goes to work every day feeling universal moral attitudes. But nonetheless, beat and distraught because she resents she affirms that we can largely overcome the exacting standards of her job and is this difficulty if we keep our "fallibility" tired of the crunching pressure -- even in mind and regard our judgments as with more than himself, and generally

Wolf addresses two main objections to farmer might not have benefited very only a fraction of the ultimate price filters uses the tariff and tax we pay on coffee to

Furthermore, Wolfs view seems to is not meaningful by Wolf's standard our judgments. If we remain self-aware inadequately reflect human psychology. because the "subjective condition" of and critical of our beliefs, such vigilance Suppose that one's happiness is not isolated, meaningfulness, her happiness, is not met. acts to a certain extent as a guard against but contagious. Or that freedom from stress On the other hand, Wolf's "objective prejudices and partiality. Wolf also clarifies significantly improves one's productivity.

### In Meaning in Life and Why It Matters, Susan Wolf believes she has found the exit to this cosmic treadmill, or as Nietzsche called it, "eternal return." She entreats us to ponder the meaning in life rather than the meaning of life.

independent of him or her, and that it is also to the judgment of the majority. She admits for others are therefore too internal, recognized as objectively valuable. (For the that adopting John Stuart Mill's view of disconnected from anything else? Wolf's second of these conditions she uses the term "a competent judge" who is "sufficiently analysis and arguments take little account commonly accepted by everyone, or at least knowledgeable" doesn't resolve the issue. by the wise.) If someone is an alcoholic who rapturously downs bottles of vodka would criticize her "endorsement of the idea called her "preemptions." Overall, Wolf's and has little time or concern for anything of non-subjective value," and that many acknowledgement of her elitist framing else, Wolf considers that a meaningless more would be "frustrated or annoyed" life. Although he fulfills the condition of by her "reluctance to make substantive criticism. Since she has decided to retain "subjective attraction" because he loves judgments." But her argument falters what he's doing, his actions lack even a when she claims that a truly valuable and the wholly objective person, she fails to paltry worth that others would recognize. thus meaningful act must always engage set up any concrete safeguards against

meaningful, she says, if the link between not meaningful. Yet really, the network self-enjoyment and objective worth is resulting from this taste or passion runs far accidental. If an alcoholic, in his unstable and wide, all the way to the farmer whose state, happens to utter words of wisdom livelihood depends on our purchases and that deter another person from committing so on, in a sort of infinite causal regression. If that alcoholic did not utter words doorstep to the manufacturer that made of wisdom, the person would not have your container, from its lumber supplier been deterred from committing suicide. to your waste collection service, a supply But the simple act of uttering words of chain links manufacturers and consumers,

a person enjoys must also engage entities misunderstood to mean readily submitting which aren't obviously consequential "endoxic," based on *endoxa* from Aristotle: rational, perceptive, sensitive, and of the complexity of our interactions.

Finally, a few comments on what Wolf rightly notes that many people might in philosophical language be regrettably does little to absolve it of this her values, and implicitly disbelieves in Wolf also adds a third requirement: a beyond one's self. If someone likes to drink prejudice. Since people are often unaware real connection between the subjective and coffee, for example, Wolf would regard of their fallacies, just reminding them objective conditions. An action cannot be that as benefiting only one's self and thus to mind their fallacies isn't sufficient.

In summary, readers of this useful book are ultimately left disappointed. As with many such works, readers will see pearls of wisdom in it, and may feel a fuzzy warmness in their hearts. But Wolf's suicide, he only happens to accomplish In this case, Wolf's view marginalizes the account is inadequate in answering the this result, saving the individual's life connectivity of global markets. From the question she aims to solve: the meaning only passively, without active intention. truck driver who delivers the coffee to your in life, a question that provokes some of our dearest existential crises. Her metaawareness of her potential bias, and her optimism about the benefit of pondering, are nonetheless laudable. But the main bulk of the argument, regrettably, is overly simplistic.

Casimir Zablotski Editor-in-Chief

Philip Chivily

### **STAFF WRITERS**

Carter Briglia Sophie Christensen Philip Gow John Madigan Federico Aleiandro Romero

are the views of their authors and do not represent the views of Enquiry or the Alexander Hamilton Institute.

Enquiry accepts articles of 500 to 800 words at czablots@hamilton.edu. Please be aware that we do not accept anonymous submissions

The opinions expressed in these articles

# CONTINUE THE CONVERSATION

1. Babble On: Language and the Ivory Tower

#BabbleOn 2. What is the Meaning in Life?